# Name of meeting: \_\_\_\_\_QEP Implementation Team Meeting \_\_\_\_ Date of meeting: <u>6/17/2015</u>

### Time of meeting: 2:00PM Place of meeting: BSCI Rm. 114

## Members present: Erin Balmer, Jay Coomes, Jessie Fletcher, Alice McCluney, Anne Oxenreider Loreen Smith,

#### Kimberly Snyder, Pat Wall

#### Members absent: Blake Dula, Jennifer Henson, Steve Hollifield, Melissa Johnson, Adam Petit, Sarah Rodriquez Guests present: Kim Gold

Торіс	Discussion	Action
	Pat Wall called the meeting to order.	
Things We Know	Evaluators have come and we need to scale back. Where are we going? Need to build buy-in. Paper needs to be written and in by the first of August	
SIP Grant	<ul> <li>Put together an application for Strengthening Institutions Program grant.</li> <li>\$400,000 for the next five years.</li> <li>We have a comprehensive model drafted and hopefully it will go through.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Another grant for \$100,000-200,000 for the next four years to help bring in a success coach, early intervention software and support for that software.</li> <li>A group of colleges have applied for this grant.</li> </ul>	
Feedback from Group	Jessie: Concern about Student Planner. Don't want to implement something and then have to switch to a new system.	

Γ	
	• Pat: Option A grant would be the best, but option B is
	okay. Thinks the buy-in is
	there. Doesn't want to learn
	one kind of software and
	then switch over to a new
	system.
	• Erin: Education piece was
	one of the weakest links.
	Will this weaken our QEP?
	Anne: We will have to be
	clear on how we are going
	to do it and what system we
	are going to use to do it.
	• Jessie: What is the timeline
	for the software? Anne: The
	first grant implements in
	Oct. and the second grant
	would be around the same
	time with some flexibility.
	• Dr. Gold: Comprehensive
	educational plan is more
	than a student having a
	checklist. What's new is
	that we are talking to the
	student about their
	individualized plan and how
	they are going to meet their
	goals. It should not all be
	hanging on a software
	package.
	<ul> <li>Alice: Feels better after</li> </ul>
	seeing the grant and seeing
	the cohesiveness.
	• Loreen: Early intervention
	forms were low. Buy-in:
	combing the committees
	and letting others join in to
Tusining Advisers	help with training.
Training Advisors	Concerns: Assessing
	advisors once they have
	been through training. They
	can be assessed during the
	training program, but how
	do we assess them after?
	Survey students/Focus

First in the World Grant	<ul> <li>groups? How do we measure to make sure that the conversations are happening and how do we assure the evaluators that they are?</li> <li>Anne: Orientation and ACA will be all first-time students. When we get into success orientated advising we are just talking about students that are deviating from their path. Academic alert and SAP will be the reactive measures.</li> <li>This grant will require randomized study and that faculty use the web attendance. Can be a good thing. Will track NS and attendance as well as some Moodle activity. Once we get it going, it will be a good thing.</li> </ul>	
Editor of QEP	Dr. Kathy Ackerman has agreed to take on the role of editor for the QEP paper.	
QEP Paper		Anne will write the paper and have and out to us so that we can all review it.
Convocation	Loreen suggested that we do small groups to large groups.	Figure out what we are going to be doing at Convocation.
Why are we doing this?	Dr. Gold asked the question of "Why are we doing this?" What are you saying when you are asked? Pat replied with: "To help students understand college knowledge and understanding to complete their educational plan." Helping students jump the hurdles and complete.	

The meeting adjourned at ? pm.